

REMARKS

Initially, in the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0192039 (Xue et al.).

By the present response, Applicant has amended claims 1-8 to further clarify the invention. Claims 1-8 remain pending in the present application.

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

Claims 1-8 have been rejected in a 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Xue et al. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Regarding claims 1-8, Applicant submits that Xue et al. does not disclose or suggest the limitations in the combination of each of these claims of, *inter alia*, activating a silent mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a PTT server to disable the PTT over cellular (PoC) global setting associated with the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, wherein in response to the PoC global setting being disabled, calls will be received at the mobile terminal in manual mode requiring an action at the mobile terminal for a PTT call session to occur, or de-activating a silent mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a PTT server to enable the PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, wherein in response to the PoC global setting being enabled, calls will be received at the mobile terminal in an auto-answer mode for callers on the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, or receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the PTT server to disable the PoC global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal activating a silent mode, wherein in response to the PoC global setting being disabled, calls will be received at the client mobile terminal in a manual mode requiring an action at the mobile terminal for a PTT call session to occur, or receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the PTT server to enable the PoC global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal de-activating a silent mode, wherein in response to the PoC global setting being enabled, calls will be received at the mobile

terminal in an auto-answer mode for callers on the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list.

As noted in Applicant's previously-filed response, Xue et al. discloses do-not-disturb flag settings where a user is not disturbed by an incoming call. When this feature is active no incoming call is offered to the user (see, paragraph 4). This is not wherein in response to a PoC global setting being disabled, calls will be received at the mobile terminal in manual mode requiring an action at the mobile terminal for a PTT call session to occur, as recited in the claims of the present application. Xue et al. discloses blocking all calls and other communications from being sent to the user's device.

Moreover, Xue et al. does not disclose or suggest wherein in response to the PoC global setting being enabled, calls being received at the mobile terminal in an auto-answer mode for callers on the mobile terminals PTT server accept list, as recited in the claims of the present application. Xue et al. is directed solely to a do-not-disturb feature being ignored for an urgent call. Xue et al. does not disclose or suggest deactivating a silent mode for the mobile terminal, or sending a message instructing a PTT server to enable a PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, as recited in the claims of the present application. These limitations are neither disclosed nor suggested in Xue et al.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Xue et al. does not disclose or suggest the limitations in the combination of each of claims 1-8 of the present application. Applicant respectfully requests these rejections be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

Conclusion


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that claims 1-8 are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of such claims is respectfully requested. If the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspects of this amendment, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4365.

Respectfully submitted,

Gwendolyn Fournier
(Applicant)

Date: August 29, 2007

By: 
Frederick D. Bailey
Registration No. 42,282
Moore & Van Allen PLLC
430 Davis Drive, Suite 500
PO Box 13706
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Telephone: (919) 286-8000
Facsimile: (919) 286-8199